

Obstacles for splitting multidimensional necklaces

Michał Lason

ABSTRACT. The famous "splitting necklace theorem" of Alon [1] was deduced from a continuous version of the problem. De Longueville and Živaljević [8] generalized it to an arbitrary dimension. They proved that for every Lebesgue measurable k -coloring of $[0, 1]^d$ and for every partition $k(q-1) = t_1 + \dots + t_d$, there exists a fair splitting of $[0, 1]^d$ into q collections using only axis-aligned hyperplane cuts and at most t_i perpendicular to i -th axis.

We prove that their result is sharp in a strong sense. Namely, if $k(q-1) > t$ then there exists a measurable k -coloring of $[0, 1]^d$ such that there is no fair q -splitting using only t axis-aligned hyperplane cuts. In fact our proof shows that the set of such colorings is dense.

Additionally, we prove that, if $k(q-1) > t + d + 1$ then there exists a measurable k -coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that no d -dimensional necklace (an axis-aligned cube in $\mathbb{R}^d$) has a fair q -splitting using at most t axis-aligned hyperplane cuts. We conjecture it is tight. This substantially improves result of Grytczuk and Lubawski [7]. For $d = 1, q = 2$ we get exactly the result of Alon et al. [3]. Moreover, if $k(q-1) > td + d + 1$ then the same statement holds for the case of arbitrary hyperplane cuts.

1. Introduction

The following problem is well-known as the splitting necklace problem:

A necklace colored with k colors has been stolen by q thieves. They intend to share the necklace fairly, but they do not know what are the values of different colors. That is why they want to split the necklace and partition obtained pieces into q collections with equal measure of each color. What is the minimum number of cuts they have to make?

To be more precise a k -colored (discrete) necklace is an interval in $\mathbb{N}$ colored with k colors. A fair q -splitting of size t is a division of the necklace using t cuts into $t + 1$ intervals, which can be partitioned into q collections with equal number of integers of each color.

Goldberg and West [6] proved that every k -colored (discrete) necklace with number of integers of each color divisible by 2 has a fair 2-splitting of size at most k (see also [2] for a short proof using the Borsuk-Ulam theorem, and [10] for other applications of the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem in combinatorics). It is easy to see that this is best possible.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05D99, 54H99, 12E99, 52C45.

Key words and phrases. Splitting necklace, multidimensional necklace, measurable coloring, mass equipartition.

A famous generalization of Alon [1] to the case of q thieves, says that every k -colored (discrete) necklace with number of integers of each color divisible by q has a fair q -splitting of size at most $k(q-1)$. It is also optimal, since it is enough to consider a necklace with k blocks of q integers of the same color.

All proofs of these discrete theorems go through a continuous version of the splitting necklace problem. Here we present a generalization to higher dimensions of a continuous version of the problem, as proved by de Longueville and Živaljević.

THEOREM 1.1. [8] *Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ be continuous probability measures on d -dimensional cube $[0, 1]^d$. For any selection of non-negative integers $t_1, \dots, t_d$ such that $k(q-1) = t_1 + \dots + t_d$ there exists a splitting of $[0, 1]^d$ using only axis-aligned hyperplane cuts and at most t_i perpendicular to i -th axis, such that it is possible to partition obtained cuboids into q collections having equal measure μ_i for each i .*

For general case of continuous probability measures it is also easy to see that the number of hyperplanes needed is best possible. Indeed, just divide diagonal of the cube into k intervals and consider one dimensional measures on them. However, this argument has still one dimensional nature. In this paper we are interested in splitting fully colored cubes.

A *measurably k -colored (continuous) d -dimensional necklace* is a nontrivial axis-aligned cube in $\mathbb{R}^d$ partitioned into k Lebesgue measurable sets, which we call colors. From now on whenever we do not specify if we mean a discrete or a continuous necklace we always assume that it is continuous.

A *fair q -splitting* of size t of a measurably k -colored d -dimensional necklace is a division using t axis-aligned hyperplane cuts into cuboids, which can be partitioned into q collections, each capturing exactly $\frac{1}{q}$ of the total measure of every color.

Note that every axis-aligned hyperplane is perpendicular to exactly one of axes and parallel to others. Those perpendicular to i -th axis we call aligned to i -th axis. So any collection of t axis-aligned hyperplane cuts gives a natural partition $t_1 + \dots + t_d = t$, where exactly t_i hyperplanes are aligned to i -th axis.

Probably, the most interesting question concerning splitting multidimensional necklaces is:

What is the minimum number $t_{d,k,q}$ such that any measurably k -colored d -dimensional necklace has a fair q -splitting using at most $t_{d,k,q}$ axis-aligned hyperplane cuts?

Clearly $k(q-1) \geq t_{d,k,q}$ and in Section 3 we actually prove that this bound is tight.

THEOREM. 3.9 *For every $d, k \geq 1$, $q \geq 2$ and a d -dimensional necklace there exists a measurable k -coloring for which there is no fair q -splitting using less than $k(q-1)$ axis-aligned hyperplane cuts (i.e. for any $k(q-1) > t_1 + \dots + t_d$ there is no fair q -splitting using for each i at most t_i hyperplane cuts aligned to i -th axis). Moreover, the set of such colorings is dense.*

Let $S_{d,k,q}$ be the set of tuples $(t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, such that any measurably k -colored d -dimensional necklace has a fair q -splitting using for each i at most t_i hyperplanes aligned to i -th axis. Theorem 1.1 together with our result fully characterizes the set $S_{d,k,q}$. Namely,

$$S_{d,k,q} = \{(t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d : k(q-1) \leq t_1 + \dots + t_d\}.$$

We consider also the problem of measurable colorings of the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$, which avoid necklaces having a fair splitting of bounded size. This problem was formulated in a paper of Alon et al. [3], who proved that there is a k -coloring of the real line in which no necklace has a fair 2-splitting using at most t cuts, provided $k > t + 2$. Grytczuk and Lubawski [7] generalized this result to higher dimensional spaces, by proving that the same holds in $\mathbb{R}^d$, provided

$$k \geq \left(\frac{t}{d} + 4\right)^d - \left(\frac{t}{d} + 3\right)^d + \left(\frac{t}{d} + 2\right)^d - 2^d + t + 2d + 3.$$

They expected the lower bound on the number of colors is far from optimal, as even for $d = 1$ it gives worse result than that obtained in [3]. They ask ([7], Problem 9) if $k \geq t + O(d)$ suffices. We prove that the answer is yes.

THEOREM. 3.3 *For every $d, k, t \geq 1$ and $q \geq 2$ if $k(q - 1) > t + d + 1$ then there exists a measurable k -coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that no d -dimensional necklace has a fair q -splitting using at most t axis-aligned hyperplane cuts. The set of such colorings is dense.*

For $d = 1, q = 2$ this gives exactly the result of [3]. We conjecture that our bound is tight, as it captures the idea of degrees of freedom of objects we want to split.

Additionally, we prove a result in a slightly different flavour, namely on colorings distinguishing cubes in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

THEOREM. 3.6 *For every $d \geq 1$ there exists a measurable $(2d + 3)$ -coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that no two nontrivial axis-aligned d -dimensional cubes have the same measure of each color. The set of such colorings is dense.*

In Section 4 we consider a problem of splitting multidimensional necklaces using arbitrary hyperplane cuts. It is closely related to the problem of equipartitioning of masses in $\mathbb{R}^d$:

Fix a positive integers d, k, q and t . Is it true that for every k mass distributions (Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that every hyperplane has measure 0) $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ there exist t hyperplanes dividing the space into parts which can be partitioned into q collections of equal measure μ_i for each i ?

A necessary condition for the positive answer is $k(q - 1) \leq td$. It can be shown by considering one dimensional measure along the moment curve. The most basic and well-known positive result in this area is the "ham sandwich theorem" for measures, asserting that for $(d, k, q, t) = (d, d, 2, 1)$ the answer is yes. It is a consequence of the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem (see [10]), and has also discrete versions saying about equipartitions of a set of points in the space by a hyperplane. Several other things are known (see [4], [5], [9], [11], [13]), however even for some small values (eg. $(4, 1, 16, 4)$) the question remains open.

In the problem we are dealing with k mass distributions in $\mathbb{R}^d$ are restricted to a measurable k -coloring of a given necklace. In this case we reprove the necessary inequality.

THEOREM. 4.4 *For every $d, k, t \geq 1$, $q \geq 2$ and a d -dimensional necklace if $k(q - 1) > dt$ then there exists a measurable k -coloring for which there is no fair q -splitting using at most t hyperplane cuts (not necessary axis-aligned). The set of such colorings is dense.*

In the same spirit as in the case of axis-aligned hyperplane cuts we investigate existence of colorings of $\mathbb{R}^d$ avoiding necklaces with a fair splitting with small number of hyperplanes.

THEOREM. 4.2 *For every $d, k, t \geq 1$ and $q \geq 2$ if $k(q-1) > td + d + 1$ then there exists a measurable k -coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that no d -dimensional necklace has a fair q -splitting using at most t hyperplane cuts (not necessary axis-aligned). The set of such colorings is dense.*

The proofs are based on the topological Baire category theorem applied to the space of all measurable colorings of $\mathbb{R}^d$, equipped with a suitable metric. The rest of the argument is algebraic, it uses algebraic independence over suitably chosen fields. This algebraic independence describes well the idea of degrees of freedom of considered geometric objects.

We finish the paper with a brief look at a discrete variant of the problem of splitting multidimensional necklace, as introduced in [7]. It turns out that the equivalence between discrete and continuous case from dimension one does not hold for higher dimensions. In general it is more difficult to split a discrete necklace, one needs roughly d times more hyperplane cuts. In Section 5 we give bounds on the minimum number of axis-aligned hyperplane cuts t that are needed for a fair q -splitting of a k -colored (discrete) d -dimensional necklace (a cube in $\mathbb{N}^d$), namely $d(k-1)\frac{q}{2} \leq t \leq (2d-1)k(q-1)$.

2. The setting for main results

Recall that a set in a metric space is *nowhere dense* if the interior of its closure is empty. Sets which can be represented as a countable union of nowhere dense sets are said to be of *first category*.

THEOREM 2.1. Baire [12] *If X is a complete metric space and a set $A \subset X$ is of first category, then $X \setminus A$ is dense in X (in particular is nonempty).*

The main framework of the argument will mimic the idea from [3]. Our plan is to construct a suitable metric space of colorings and prove that the subset of bad colorings is of first category. To prove it we will use entirely different technique than in [3]. Our approach will be purely algebraic, we will show algebraic dependence of some numbers over suitably chosen fields.

We demonstrate in full details proofs of results asserting the existence of colorings of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with certain properties. Proofs of results asserting the existence of colorings of a given necklace fit the same pattern, so we omit parts which can be rewritten with just minor changes.

Let k be a fixed positive integer and let $\{1, \dots, k\}$ be the set of colors. Let M be a space of all measurable k -colorings of $\mathbb{R}^d$, that is the set of measurable maps $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ (for each i the set $f^{-1}(i)$ is Lebesgue measurable). For $f, g \in M$, and a positive integer n let

$$D_n(f, g) = \{x \in [-n, n]^d : f(x) \neq g(x)\}.$$

Clearly $D_n(f, g)$ is measurable, and we may define the normalized distance between f and g on $[-n, n]^d$ by

$$d_n(f, g) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(D_n(f, g))}{(2n)^d},$$

where $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is the Lebesgue measure of a set D . We define the distance between any two colorings f, g from M by

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n(f, g)}{2^n}.$$

To get a metric space we need to identify colorings whose distance is zero (they differ only on a set of measure zero), but they are indistinguishable from our point of view. Formally $\mathcal{M}$ is metric space consisting of equivalence classes of M with distance function d .

LEMMA 2.2. *Metric space $\mathcal{M}$ is complete.*

This is a straightforward generalization of the fact that sets of finite measure in any measure space form a complete metric space, with measure of symmetric difference as the distance function (see [12]).

A coloring $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is called a *cube coloring* on $[-n, n]^d$ if there exists N such that f is constant on any half open cube from the division of $[-n, n]^d$ into N^d equal size cubes. Let $\mathcal{I}_n$ denote the set of all colorings from $\mathcal{M}$ that are cube colorings on $[-n, n]^d$.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ and an integer n there exists a cube coloring $g \in \mathcal{I}_n$ such that $d(f, g) < \epsilon$.*

PROOF. Let $C_i = f^{-1}(i) \cap [-n, n]^d$ and let $C_i^* \subset [-n, n]^d$ be a finite union of cuboids such that

$$\mathcal{L}((C_i \setminus C_i^*) \cup (C_i^* \setminus C_i)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2k^2}$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Define a coloring h so that for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, the set $C_i^* \setminus (C_1^* \cup \dots \cup C_{i-1}^*)$ is filled with color i , the rest of the cube $[-n, n]^d$ is filled with any fixed color, and h agrees with f everywhere outside $[-n, n]^d$. Then $d(f, h) < \epsilon/2$ and clearly each $h^{-1}(i) \cap [-n, n]^d$ is a finite union of cuboids. Let $A_1, \dots, A_t$ be the whole family of these cuboids. Now split the cube $[-n, n]^d$ into N^d equal cubes $B_1, \dots, B_{N^d}$ for $N > 4dt(2n)^d/\epsilon$, and define a new coloring g such that $g|_{B_i} = h|_{A_j}$ whenever $B_i \subset A_j$ and $g|_{B_i}$ constant equal to any color otherwise. Hence, g differs from h on at most $2dt(N)^{d-1}$ small cubes of total volume at most $2dt(2n)^d/N < \epsilon/2$, we get $d(f, g) < \epsilon$. $\square$

3. Axis-aligned hyperplane cuts

A splitting of a necklace $C = [\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_0] \times \dots \times [\alpha_d, \alpha_d + \alpha_0]$ using t axis-aligned hyperplane cuts can be described by a partition $t_1 + \dots + t_d = t$ such that for each i there are exactly t_i hyperplanes aligned to i -th axis, and by coordinates of this hyperplanes $\alpha_i = \beta_0^i \leq \beta_1^i \leq \dots \leq \beta_{t_i}^i \leq \beta_{t_i+1}^i = \alpha_i + \alpha_0$. By granularity of the splitting we mean the length of the shortest subinterval $[\beta_j^i, \beta_{j+1}^i]$ over all i, j .

For $(t_1, \dots, t_d)$ and n we denote by $\mathcal{B}_n^{(t_i)}$ the set of k -colorings from $\mathcal{M}$ for which there exists at least one d -dimensional necklace contained in $[-n, n]^d$ which has a fair q -splitting using for each i exactly t_i hyperplanes aligned to i -th axis and with granularity at least $1/n$. Finally let

$$\mathcal{B}_n(t) = \bigcup_{t_1 + \dots + t_d \leq t} \mathcal{B}_n^{(t_i)}$$

be a set of bad colorings.

Let $\mathcal{G}_t$ be a subset of $\mathcal{M}$ consisting of those k -colorings for which any necklace does not have a fair q -splitting using at most t axis-aligned hyperplane cuts. Clearly we have

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{M} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n(t).$$

Our aim is to show that sets $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ are nowhere dense, provided suitable relation between d, k, q and t . This will imply that the union $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n(t)$ is of first category, so the set of colorings $\mathcal{G}_t$, which we are looking for, is dense.

LEMMA 3.1. *Sets $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ are closed in $\mathcal{M}$.*

PROOF. Since $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ is a finite union of $\mathcal{B}_n^{(t_i)}$ over all $t_1 + \dots + t_d \leq t$ it is enough to show that sets $\mathcal{B}_n^{(t_i)}$ are closed. Let $(f_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of colorings from $\mathcal{B}_n^{(t_i)}$ converging to f in $\mathcal{M}$. For each f_m there exists a d -dimensional necklace C_m in $[-n, n]^d$ and a fair q -splitting of it with granularity at least $1/n$. Since $[-n, n]^d$ is compact we can assume that cubes C_m converge to some cube C , and that hyperplane cuts also converge (note that C is a nontrivial cube since granularity is at least $1/n$). The only thing left is to define a q -labeling of parts of the division to get q equal collections. But there is a finite number of such labelings for each C_m , so one of them appears infinitely many times in the sequence. It is easy to see that this gives a fair q -splitting of the necklace C , so $f \in \mathcal{B}_n^{(t_i)}$. $\square$

LEMMA 3.2. *If $k(q-1) > t + d + 1$ then every $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ has empty interior.*

PROOF. Assume the assertion of the lemma is false: there is some $f \in \mathcal{B}_n(t)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ for which $K(f, \epsilon) = \{g \in \mathcal{M} : d(f, g) < \epsilon\} \subset \mathcal{B}_n(t)$. By Lemma 2.3 there is a cube coloring $g \in \mathcal{I}_n$ such that $d(f, g) < \epsilon/2$, so $K(g, \epsilon/2) \subset \mathcal{B}_n(t)$.

The idea is to modify slightly the coloring g so that the new coloring will be still close to g , but there will be no necklaces inside $[-n, n]^d$ possessing a q -splitting of size at most t and granularity at least $1/n$.

Without loss of generality we may assume that there are equally spaced axis-aligned cubes $C_{i_1, \dots, i_d}$ for $i_1, \dots, i_d \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ in $[-n, n]^d$ such that $N > 4n^2$, edges of cubes $\frac{2n}{N}$ are rational and each cube is filled with a unique color in the cube coloring g . Let $\delta > 0$ be a rational number satisfying $\delta^d < \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{2N^d}, (\frac{2n}{N})^d\}$. Inside each cube $C_{i_1, \dots, i_d}$ choose a volume δ^d axis-aligned cube $D_{i_1, \dots, i_d}$ with corners in rational points $\mathbb{Q}^d$. Let us call "white" the first color from our set of colors $\{1, \dots, k\}$. For each cube $D_{i_1, \dots, i_d}$ and a color $j = 2, \dots, k$ we choose small enough number $x_{j; i_1, \dots, i_d}$ and an axis-aligned cube $D_{j; i_1, \dots, i_d} \subset D_{i_1, \dots, i_d}$ such that:

- (1) volume of $D_{j; i_1, \dots, i_d}$ equals to $x_{j; i_1, \dots, i_d}^d$
- (2) cubes $D_{j; i_1, \dots, i_d}$ for $j = 2, \dots, k$ are disjoint
- (3) one of corners of $D_{j; i_1, \dots, i_d}$ is rational (lies in $\mathbb{Q}^d$)
- (4) the set $\{x_{j; i_1, \dots, i_d} : j \in \{2, \dots, k\}, i_1, \dots, i_d \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}$ is algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Now we define a coloring h , which is a modification of g . Let h be equal to g outside cubes from $\mathcal{D} := \{D_{i_1, \dots, i_d} : i_1, \dots, i_d \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}$. Inside the cube $D_{i_1, \dots, i_d}$ let h be equal to "white" everywhere except cubes $D_{j; i_1, \dots, i_d}$, where it is j .

Clearly $d(g, h) < \epsilon/2$, so $h \in \mathcal{B}_n(t)$. Hence there is a necklace $A = [\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_0] \times \dots \times [\alpha_d, \alpha_d + \alpha_0] \subset [-n, n]^d$ which has a fair q -splitting of size at most t and granularity at least $1/n$. Denote by $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t$ coordinates of axis-aligned

hyperplane cuts (for $H = \{x_i = \beta\}$ we get β). Since granularity of the splitting is at least $1/n$, and edges of cubes $C_{i_1, \dots, i_d}$ are smaller or equal to $1/(2n)$, for each part P of the division there are $i_1, \dots, i_d$ for which $C_{i_1, \dots, i_d} \subset P$, so it is not divided by any hyperplane cut. Each of q collections has to contain at least one part, so for each of them fix such cube $C_{i_1^l, \dots, i_d^l}$ $l = 1, \dots, q$.

Now the key observation is that the amount of color j different from "white" contained in each collection l of the q -splitting is a polynomial $W_{j,l}$ from

$$\mathbb{Q}[\alpha_i, \beta_m, x_{j;i_1, \dots, i_d} : i = 0, \dots, d; m = 1, \dots, t; (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \{1, \dots, N\}^d].$$

So when we compare the amount of color j in l -th collection and the first one we get:

$$W_{j,l}(\alpha_i, \beta_m, x_{j;i_1, \dots, i_d}) = W_{j,1}(\alpha_i, \beta_m, x_{j;i_1, \dots, i_d}).$$

Since $C_{i_1^l, \dots, i_d^l}$ is not divided by any hyperplane from our splitting, we get equations (*):

$$(W_{j,1} - W_{j,l})(\alpha_i, \beta_m, x_{j;i_1, \dots, i_d} : (i_1, \dots, i_d) \neq (i_1^r, \dots, i_d^r) \ r = 2, \dots, q) = x_{j;i_1^l, \dots, i_d^l}^d,$$

for all $j = 2, \dots, k$ and $l = 2, \dots, q$.

Observe now that the set $\{\alpha_i, \beta_m : i = 0, \dots, d; m = 1, \dots, t\}$ is algebraically dependent over $\mathbb{Q}$, since volume of each part is a polynomial in these variables over $\mathbb{Q}$. We get $q - 1$ independent equations because all q parts of the splitting have to be of the same volume (by moving hyperplanes we can enlarge a single cuboid from the partition to the whole necklace - this ensures that these equations are independent). Hence transcendence degree over the field

$$\mathbb{Q}(x_{j;i_1, \dots, i_d} : (i_1, \dots, i_d) \neq (i_1^r, \dots, i_d^r) \ r = 2, \dots, q)$$

of the set of left sides of equations (*) is at most $t + d + 1 - (q - 1)$, while transcendence degree of the set of right sides of equations (*) is equal to $(k - 1)(q - 1)$. Hence $(k - 1)(q - 1) \leq t + d + 1 - (q - 1)$ and we get a contradiction. $\square$

Now from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 follows that if $k(q - 1) > t + d + 1$ then sets $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ are nowhere dense, which proves the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. *For every $d, k, t \geq 1$ and $q \geq 2$ if $k(q - 1) > t + d + 1$ then there exists a measurable k -coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that no d -dimensional necklace has a fair q -splitting using at most t axis-aligned hyperplane cuts. The set of such colorings is dense.*

The idea standing behind the proof is to count "degrees of freedom" of moving a necklace and cuts splitting it and to compare it with the number of equations forced by the existence of a fair splitting. If the number of degrees of freedom is less than the number of equations, then there exists a coloring such that no necklace can be fairly split. Even though the intuitive meaning of degree of freedom is clear, it is hard to define it rigorously to be able to make use of it. It turns out that the transcendence degree of the set $\{\alpha_i, \beta_m : i = 0, \dots, d; m = 1, \dots, t\}$ works.

As we will see in Section 4, if we allow arbitrary hyperplane cuts then each of them adds d degrees of freedom, not only one as an axis-aligned hyperplane cut. Therefore for arbitrary hyperplane cuts we will get roughly d times worse bound. The same reason explains the difference between results for cubes and cuboids, the latter ones have larger degree of freedom.

For $d = 1$ and $q = 2$ Theorem 3.3 gives exactly the result of the paper of Alon, Grytczuk, Lasoń and Michałek [3]. However, the technique of showing that sets $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ have empty interior is quite different. To show a contradiction they used a color which was different from colors of cut points and the end points of an interval, in higher dimensions it is impossible. Additionally their argument was not only algebraic but contained also analytic part, they used some inequalities on measure of colors.

Grytczuk and Lubawski [7] showed a similar statement to ours for $q = 2$, but with much worse bound. They proved that there exists a coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ using

$$(t+4)^d - (t+3)^d + (t+2)^d - 2^d + td + 2d + 3$$

colors such that no d -dimensional necklace can be fairly 2-split using at most t axis-aligned cuts in each dimension.

We conjecture the bound from Theorem 3.3 is tight.

CONJECTURE 3.4. *For every $d, k \geq 1$, $q \geq 2$ and a measurable k -coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ there is a d -dimensional necklace which has a fair q -splitting using at most $k(q-1) - d - 1$ axis-aligned hyperplane cuts.*

However, we know that the above conjecture is false for arbitrary k continuous measures.

By modifying slightly the definition of sets $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ and Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 one may obtain the following more general result for cuboids.

THEOREM 3.5. *For every $d, k, t \geq 1$ and $q \geq 2$ if $k(q-1) > t + 2d$ then there exists a measurable k -coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that no nontrivial axis-aligned d -dimensional cuboid has a fair q -splitting using at most t axis-aligned hyperplane cuts. The set of such colorings is dense.*

In a similar way we can get other statements of this type. For n we define the set $\mathcal{B}_n$ of k -colorings from $\mathcal{M}$ for which there exist two d -dimensional cubes A, B contained in $[-n, n]^d$ which have the same measure of each color, and such that both $A \setminus B$ and $B \setminus A$ contain a translation of a cube $(0, \frac{1}{n})^d$. The bad colorings are $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n$. Sets $\mathcal{B}_n$ are closed, and we can easily get an analog of Lemma 3.2. This shows the existence of a coloring distinguishing cubes in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

THEOREM 3.6. *For every $d \geq 1$ there exists a measurable $(2d+3)$ -coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that no two nontrivial axis-aligned d -dimensional cubes have the same measure of each color. The set of such colorings is dense.*

For $d = 1$ this gives the second result of the paper [3], namely the existence of 5-coloring of $\mathbb{R}$ with no two equally colored intervals. In this case we also suspect that the number of colors is optimal.

CONJECTURE 3.7. *For every measurable $2(d+2)$ -coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ there are two nontrivial axis-aligned d -dimensional cubes which have the same measure of each color.*

Slight modification of the argument leads to a version for cuboids.

THEOREM 3.8. *For every $d \geq 1$ there exists a measurable $(4d+1)$ -coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that no two nontrivial axis-aligned d -dimensional cuboids have the same measure of each color. The set of such colorings is dense.*

The last part of this Section is devoted to colorings of a given necklace that avoid fair splitting of certain size.

For every $d, k \geq 1$, $q \geq 2$ and a d -dimensional necklace $C = [\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_0] \times \cdots \times [\alpha_d, \alpha_d + \alpha_0]$ we define the set $\mathcal{B}_n^{(t_i)}$ of k -colorings from $\mathcal{M}$ for which there is a fair q -splitting of C with for each i exactly t_i hyperplanes aligned to i -th axis and granularity at least $1/n$. Finally let

$$\mathcal{B}_n(t) = \bigcup_{t_1 + \cdots + t_d \leq t} \mathcal{B}_n^{(t_i)}$$

be bad colorings. The aim, as previously, is to show that sets $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ are nowhere dense, provided suitable relation between d, k, q and t . This will imply that the union $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n(t)$ is of first category, so the set of colorings which we are looking for is dense. Analogously to Lemma 3.1 sets $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ are closed in $\mathcal{M}$. Similarly to the Lemma 3.2 if $k(q-1) > t$ then $\mathcal{B}_n(\square)$ has empty interior. The only difference is that we want the set $\{x_{j;i_1,\dots,i_d} : j \in \{2, \dots, k\}, i_1, \dots, i_d \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}$ to be algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i : i = 0, \dots, d)$. We also add $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d$ to the base field, so the transcendence degree of the left side of equations is at most $t - (q-1)$, while of the right side is still $(k-1)(q-1)$. This proves the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.9. *For every $d, k \geq 1$, $q \geq 2$ and a d -dimensional necklace there exists a measurable k -coloring for which there is no fair q -splitting using less than $k(q-1)$ axis-aligned hyperplane cuts. The set of such colorings is dense.*

Here we see that if we fix a necklace then the number of degrees of freedom decreases, so fewer colors suffice.

4. Arbitrary hyperplane cuts

An arbitrary hyperplane (not necessary axis-aligned) can be described by d numbers $\beta^1, \dots, \beta^d$, which we will call parameters. There are several ways of defining parameters, fortunately usually transition functions between different definitions are rational. For example for a hyperplane not passing through $O = (0, \dots, 0)$ we can define parameters to be coefficients of its normalized equation $\beta^1 x_d + \cdots + \beta^d x_d = 1$. If we pick $d+1$ rational points $P_1, \dots, P_{d+1}$ in general position then no hyperplane contains all of them. We can describe a hyperplane H using coefficients of its normalized equation with O being moved to one of P_i which does not belong to H . We will make use of the following classical fact.

LEMMA 4.1. *A volume (Lebesgue measure) of a bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^d$ which is an intersection of a finite number of half spaces can be expressed as a rational function over $\mathbb{Q}$ of parameters of supporting hyperplanes.*

PROOF. Such a set S is a convex polytope. From Cramer's formula follows that its vertices have coordinates being rational functions of parameters. If S is a simplex, then its volume equals to the determinant of the matrix of coordinates of vertices divided by $d!$, hence it is a rational function. Otherwise there are d vertices in general position determining a hyperplane which cuts the polytope into two pieces. Parameters of the new hyperplane are also rational functions of parameters of original hyperplanes. Now each piece has fewer number of supporting hyperplanes, so from induction its volume is a rational function. Then so is the volume of the original polytope. $\square$

Granularity of the splitting is the largest c such that any part of the splitting contains an axis-aligned cube with side equal to c . We denote by $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ the set of k -colorings from $\mathcal{M}$ for which there exists at least one d -dimensional necklace contained in $[-n, n]^d$ which has a fair q -splitting with at most t hyperplanes and granularity at least $1/n$. These are bad colorings.

As in Section 3 our aim is to show that sets $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ are nowhere dense, provided suitable relation between d, k, q and t . This will imply that the set of colorings which we are looking for is dense.

The proof that sets $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ are closed in $\mathcal{M}$ goes exactly the same as the proof of Lemma 3.1. To show that if $k(q-1) > dt + d + 1$ then each $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ has empty interior we repeat the proof of Lemma 3.2 making small modifications. Instead of a single parameter β_i of a hyperplane we have d parameters $\beta_i^1, \dots, \beta_i^d$. Due to Lemma 4.1 the amount of color j different from "white" contained in each collection l of the q -splitting is a rational function $R_{j,l}$ from

$$\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i, \beta_m^p, x_{j;i_1, \dots, i_d} : i = 0, \dots, d; m = 1, \dots, t; p = 1, \dots, d; (i_1, \dots, i_d)).$$

instead of a polynomial $W_{j,l}$. Now transcendence degree over the field

$$\mathbb{Q}(x_{j;i_1, \dots, i_d} : (i_1, \dots, i_d) \neq (i_1^r, \dots, i_d^r) \ r = 2, \dots, q)$$

of the set of left sides of equations analogous to (*) is at most $dt + d + 1 - (q-1)$, while transcendence degree of the set of right sides equals to $(k-1)(q-1)$. Hence the hypothesis that $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ has non empty interior implies inequality $(k-1)(q-1) \leq dt + d + 1 - (q-1)$. It follows that if $k(q-1) > dt + d + 1$ then sets $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ are nowhere dense, which proves the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. *For every $d, k, t \geq 1$ and $q \geq 2$ if $k(q-1) > dt + d + 1$ then there exists a measurable k -coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that no d -dimensional necklace has a fair q -splitting using at most t hyperplane cuts (not necessary axis-aligned). The set of such colorings is dense.*

Modifying slightly the above argument one may obtain a version for cuboids.

THEOREM 4.3. *For every $d, k, t \geq 1$ and $q \geq 2$ if $k(q-1) > dt + 2d$ then there exists a measurable k -coloring of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that no nontrivial axis-aligned d -dimensional cuboid has a fair q -splitting using at most t hyperplane cuts. The set of such colorings is dense.*

Other modification, similar to the proof of Theorem 3.9, implies a necessary condition for the positive answer to the problem described in the introduction (is it true that for every k mass distributions in $\mathbb{R}^d$ there exist t hyperplanes dividing the space into parts which can be partitioned into q collections of equal amount of each measure?).

THEOREM 4.4. *For every $d, k, t \geq 1$, $q \geq 2$ and a d -dimensional necklace if $k(q-1) > dt$ then there exists a measurable k -coloring for which there is no fair q -splitting using at most t hyperplane cuts. The set of such colorings is dense.*

We ask if the condition $k(q-1) \leq dt$ is also sufficient.

QUESTION 4.5. *Does for every $d, k, t \geq 1$ and $q \geq 2$ if $k(q-1) \leq dt$ then any d -dimensional measurably k -colored necklace have a fair q -splitting using at most t arbitrary hyperplane cuts?*

We conjecture the answer is positive. For $(d, k, q, t) = (d, k, 2^t, t)$ it coincides with Ramos conjecture [13]. A small support is an affirmative answer for $(d, k, q) = (d, d, 2^l, 2^l - 1)$, which follows directly from $2^l - 1$ applications of Ham sandwich theorem for measures [10]. Considering special cases one can even restrict attention to $(d, k, q, t) = (d, ld, 2, l)$.

5. A discrete case

In dimension one a continuous version of the necklace splitting problem was a tool to derive a discrete one, and the minimal number of cuts needed is the same. For higher dimensions as we will see there is no such correspondence.

For a fixed q a k -colored (discrete) d -dimensional necklace is a cube in $\mathbb{N}^d$ partitioned into k sets, each with cardinality divisible by q . A fair q -splitting of size t is a partition using t axis-aligned hyperplane cuts into sets, which can be partitioned into q collections, each capturing exactly $\frac{1}{q}$ of the total number of points of each color. Alon's splitting necklace theorem [1] states that every k -colored (discrete) 1-dimensional necklace has a fair q -splitting using at most $k(q-1)$ cuts. Grytczuk and Lubawski [7] asked what is the minimum number of axis-aligned hyperplane cuts that are needed to 2-split fairly any k -colored (discrete) d -dimensional necklace. At first, it is not completely clear why this number is finite. We give a partial answer to the more general question of q thieves by showing an upper and a lower bound which differ by multiplicative factor 4.

THEOREM 5.1. *For every $d, k \geq 1$ and $q \geq 2$ the minimum number of axis-aligned hyperplane cuts t that are needed to q -split fairly any k -colored (discrete) d -dimensional necklace satisfies inequalities $d(k-1)\frac{q}{2} \leq t \leq (2d-1)k(q-1)$.*

PROOF. The bound from above. Let N be a k -colored (discrete) d -dimensional necklace. Let $<$ be the lexicographic order on N , namely $(x_1, \dots, x_d) < (y_1, \dots, y_d)$ if and only if $x_i = y_i$ for $i < j$ and $x_j < y_j$. We can imagine (discrete) d -dimensional necklace stretched on a line according to the order $<$. It forms a (discrete) 1-dimensional necklace N' . From Alon's splitting necklace theorem we get that there is a fair q -splitting of N' using at most $k(q-1)$ cuts. Suppose there is a cut between $x < y$, which are consecutive in our order. Suppose $x_i = y_i$ for $i < j$ and $x_j < y_j$. We can realize this cut with $2j-1 \leq 2d-1$ axis-aligned hyperplane cuts in $\mathbb{N}^d$. Namely by cutting with hyperplanes $z_1 = x_1 - \frac{1}{2}, z_1 = x_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, z_{j-1} = x_{j-1} - \frac{1}{2}, z_{j-1} = x_{j-1} + \frac{1}{2}$, and $z_j = \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}$. Hence $(2d-1)k(q-1)$ hyperplane cuts suffice.

The bound from below. First consider only one color, we are going to show that in general we need at least $d\frac{q}{2}$ cuts to split it. We will make a double counting argument. Pick any subset N of a cube $C = \{1, \dots, n\}^d$, there are 2^{n^d} possibilities. Now t cuts can be chosen in at most $(dn)^t$ ways. They divide the cube C into at most $(t+1)^d$ cuboids, so there are at most $q^{(t+1)^d}$ possibilities of partitioning these cuboids into q collections. A fixed set of cuts and a partition of parts gives a q -splitting of C into collections $A_1, \dots, A_q$, say of cardinalities $a_1, \dots, a_q$. We have $a_1 + \dots + a_q = n^d$. Let us estimate the number of sets N for which it is a fair q -splitting. It is equal to the sum

$$\sum_i \binom{a_1}{i} \cdots \binom{a_q}{i},$$

since all collections have to contain the same number of points. It is easy to see that this sum is maximized for $a_i = \lceil \frac{1}{q}n^d \rceil$ or $\lfloor \frac{1}{q}n^d \rfloor$. Then from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\sum_i \left(\frac{1}{q}n^d \right)_i^q \leq \left(\sum_i \left(\frac{1}{q}n^d \right)_i^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} = \left(\frac{2}{q}n^d \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} = \Theta \left(\frac{2n^d}{n^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right).$$

Hence since we assume that t cuts suffice, we have an inequality:

$$\Theta \left((dn)^t q^{(t+1)d} \frac{2n^d}{n^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right) \geq 2n^d.$$

We get that for n_0 big enough there is a set $N_0 \subset \{1, \dots, n_0\}^d$ for which at least $t \geq d\frac{q}{2}$ cuts are needed. Now when there are k colors, we take $k-1$ copies of N_0 and place them in $\mathbb{N}^d$ inside cubes $\{1, \dots, n_0\}^d, \dots, \{1 + (k-2)n_0, \dots, n_0 + (k-2)n_0\}^d$ and color them using $2, \dots, k$ respectively. The remaining part of a big cube $\{1, \dots, (k-1)n_0\}^d$ we color with 1. Each axis-aligned hyperplane cuts only one of colors $2, \dots, k$, and for each of them we need at least $d\frac{q}{2}$ cuts, so in total at least $d(k-1)\frac{q}{2}$. $\square$

The gap between an upper and a lower bound from Theorem 5.1 motivates the following generalization of the question asked by Grytczuk and Lubawski.

QUESTION 5.2. *What is the least possible number t such that any k -colored (discrete) d -dimensional necklace has a fair q -splitting using at most t axis aligned hyperplane cuts?*

In our opinion the correct answer should be around the value of an upper bound $(2d-1)k(q-1)$.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Piotr Micek and Wojtek Lubawski for valuable remarks to preliminary version of this manuscript.

References

- [1] N. Alon, Splitting necklaces, *Advances in Math.* 63 (1987), 247-253.
- [2] N. Alon, D. West, The Borsuk-Ulam theorem and bisection of necklaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 98 (1986), 623-628.
- [3] N. Alon, J. Grytczuk, M. Lason, M. Michaek, Splitting necklaces and measurable colorings of the real line, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137 no. 5 (2009), 1593-1599.
- [4] P. Blagojević and G.M. Ziegler. The ideal-valued index for a dihedral group action, and mass partition by two hyperplanes, *arXiv: 0704.1943v1*.
- [5] H. Edelsbrunner, *Algorithms in Combinatorial Geometry*, volume 10 of *EATCS Monographs in Theoretical Computer Science*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [6] C.H. Goldberg, D.B. West, Bisection of circle colorings, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods* 6 (1985), 93-106.
- [7] J. Grytczuk, W. Lubawski, Splitting multidimensional necklaces and measurable colorings of Euclidean spaces, *arXiv:1209.1809*.
- [8] M. de Longueville, R.T. Živaljević, Splitting multidimensional necklaces, *Advances in Math.* 218 (2008), 926-939.
- [9] P. Mani-Levitska, S. Vrećica, and R. Živaljević. Topology and combinatorics of partitions of masses by hyperplanes, *Advances in Math.* 207 (2006), 266-296.
- [10] J. Matoušek, *Using the Borsuk-Ulam theorem*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [11] B. Matschke, A note on mass partitions by hyperplanes, *arXiv 1001.0193v1*.

- [12] J.C. Oxtoby, Measure and Category, Grad. Texts in Math. 2, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980.
- [13] E.A. Ramos, Equipartition of mass distributions by hyperplanes, Discrete Comput. Geom. 15 (1996), 147167.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 00-956 WARSZAWA,
POLAND

E-mail address: `michalason@gmail.com`

THEORETICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER
SCIENCE, JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND

E-mail address: `mlason@tcs.uj.edu.pl`